

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES United States Pate	DEPARTMENT	OF-GO	MMERCI
United States Pate	ent and Tradema	ırk∤ Of	L ice

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,740	08/25/2003	Shanta Modak	070050.2458	2302
21003	7590 08/02/2004		EXAMI	NER
BAKER & E	BOTTS		KENNEDY,	SHARON E
	ELLER PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK.	NY 10112		AKT ONLY	I AI EK NOMBEK

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/647,740	MODAK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sharon Kennedy	3762		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE N - Extension after S - If the s - If NO - Failum Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the torough within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .	·		
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowar				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	on of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 31-49 is/are pending in the application	n.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>31-49</u> is/are rejected.		•		
, —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		·		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)🛛 ¯	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		a)-(d) or (f).		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicate	tion No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receiv	ved in this National Stage		
	application from the International Burea				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	red.		
Attachment	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I			
- =	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/647,740

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action or the MPEP.

Information Disclosure Statement

The prior art of the parent application, US Patent No. 6,626,873, has been considered herein. Applicant should submit a form PTO-1449 listing those references so that they may appear on the face of any patent issuing from this application.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. See MPEP § 601.01(a).

Specification

The specification is objected to because the "Reference to Related Applications" section needs to be updated. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

Claims 31-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,626,873. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application encompass the claims of the parent.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,740

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 31-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 5,772,640. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application encompass the claims of the parent.

Claims 31-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-55 of U.S. Patent No. 6,106,505. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application encompass the claims of the parent.

Claims 31-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 8-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,582,719. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application encompass the claims of the parent.

Claims 31-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,706,024. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application encompass the claims of the parent.

Claims 31-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. Application/Control Number: 10/647,740

Art Unit: 3762

6,083,208. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application encompass the claims of the parent.

Claims 31-49 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13, 17-22 of copending Application No. 09/746,670. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of this application encompass the claims of the co-pending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-49 would be allowed if terminal disclaimers as suggested above were filed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Applicant has previously provided comments concerning the novel combination of the chlorhexidine free base and chlorhexidine salt. See the prosecution histories of the parent applications and other related applications, particularly the discussions concerning Solomon, US 6,261,271 and similar references.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Kennedy whose telephone number is 703/305-0154. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703/308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharon Kennedy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

Sek